IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

E	A	R)	LE	NE	MCBR	LIDE	:
_		_					

342 N. Duke Street

Lancaster, PA 17602 : CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff, : No.____

WHITE DEER RUN, INC. : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

20400 Stevens Creek Blvd. Suite 600

v.

Cupertino, CA 95014

Defendant. :

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, by and through her undersigned counsel, hereby avers as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This action has been initiated by Earlene McBride (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff," unless indicated otherwise) against White Deer Run, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant" unless indicated otherwise) for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA" - 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et. seq.) and the Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act ("PMWA" - 35 P.S. §§ 333.101 et. seq.). Specifically, Plaintiff asserts she was not properly paid overtime compensation while in the employ of Defendant and unlawfully terminated shortly after engaging in protected activity under the FLSA (by expressing concerns of non-payment of overtime). As a direct consequence of Defendant's unlawful actions, Plaintiff seeks damages as set forth herein.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the instant action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343(a)(4) because it arises under the laws of the United States and seeks redress for violations of federal laws. There lies supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state-law claims because they arise out of the same common nucleus of operative facts as Plaintiff's federal claims asserted herein.
- 3. This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendant because its contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in *International Shoe Co. v. Washington*, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.
- 4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), venue is properly laid in this district because all of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district, and Defendant is deemed to reside where it is subjected to personal jurisdiction, rendering Defendant a resident of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

PARTIES

- 5. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
 - 6. Plaintiff is an adult individual, with an address as set forth in the caption.
- 7. Defendant is a corporation engaged in the business of providing full continuum care from detox through aftercare for adults and adolescents suffering from substance abuse, as well as treatment for other mental health disorders. Defendant operates multiple locations and functions nationally.

8. At all times relevant herein, Defendant acted by and through its agents, servants and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for Defendant.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 9. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
 - 10. Plaintiff was hired by Defendant in or about mid-February of 2015.
- 11. Plaintiff was employed at one of Defendant's many locations, and during her entire period of employment, worked at 53 NW End Avenue, Lancaster, PA 17602.
 - 12. Plaintiff was hired by Defendant for the position of Clinical Supervisor.
- 13. As a Clinical Supervisor, Plaintiff expected to perform solely management responsibilities as she had been led to believe from Defendant's job listing, interview and hiring representations.
- 14. Because Plaintiff was hired as a Clinical Supervisor, Plaintiff was categorized by Defendant as an exempt employee who was not paid at a rate of time and one half for all hours worked beyond 40 hours per week.
- 15. Defendant however operated its business at least at the location wherein Plaintiff was employed in a very predatory and exploitive manner. Specifically, it appeared at all times Defendant intentionally understaffed its organization and required employees to work in multiple unexpected capacities often 60-100 hour per week, discarding or terminating them when they were either burned out or opposed mistreatment.
- 16. In total, Plaintiff was employed with Defendant for approximately nine (9) months.

- 17. Although Plaintiff was titled Clinical Supervisor upon hire, Defendant was so short staffed that she was required to perform predominantly non-management duties during her entire tenure with Defendant.
- 18. For the first approximate 7 months of her employment, well over 50% of Plaintiff's job consisted of performing a role of Clinical Technician, a position that requires no licenses, specific education or advanced training.
- 19. Clinical technicians perform a non-exempt role for Defendant, and are paid overtime compensation. Examples of clinical technician duties consist of tasks such as: doing laundry; changing linens; getting patients and bringing them back and forth to appointments; kitchen responsibilities; janitorial duties; and patient monitoring. The setting of the location wherein Plaintiff was employed was basically in a house, and Clinical technicians perform general made-like, butler, security, and other duties that basically facilitate the living and treatment environment for patients.
- 20. For Plaintiff's last approximate 2 months of employment, Plaintiff performed almost exclusively Clinical Technician work along with counselor work as a counselor had left the facility and she was required to participate in a counseling role handling approximate 8 cases at a time (whereas previously she had no cases and did not counsel).
- 21. The counselor whose role Plaintiff was handling and who had previously separated was a non-exempt employee and paid overtime compensation.
- 22. During Plaintiff's entire period of employment, no more than 10-15% of her job ever consisted of anything that could arguably be management-related (as she did at times participate in hiring, payroll, or scheduling). It was blatantly obvious to employees that Plaintiff

performed a labor role and rarely did anything related to management. *See e.g.* Denise Glover Certification, attached hereto as "Exhibit A."

- 23. In the several months of her employment, Plaintiff raised concerns to her management, and in particular, one Carla Coldflesh. Coldflesh was Plaintiff's primary supervisor, and Coldflesh's job title was at all relevant times "Program Director."
- 24. The concerns Plaintiff raised were that she was: (a) completely overwhelmed; (b) working tremendous hours; (c) since hire being unable to perform a management role; (d) that she was not getting paid overtime despite performing primarily roles entitling overtime; and (e) that she wanted her compensation, including overtime, to properly and promptly be addressed. Complaints of non-payment of overtime are protected activity under the FLSA.
- 25. As a result of Plaintiff's complaints as aforesaid, Plaintiff was pretextually admonished and then terminated shortly after returning from a vacation in November of 2015. Her termination was premised on alleged performance concerns but was really motivated by her protected activities, which was followed with antagonism, bogus discipline and temporally proximate termination from employment.

COUNT I <u>Violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA")</u> [Non-payment of overtime & Retaliatory Termination]

- 26. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 27. Defendant is a business engaged in interstate commerce and generates gross revenues in excess of \$500,000.00 per year, establishing it as a covered enterprise pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 203.

- 28. Plaintiff typically worked a minimum of 60 hours per week and sometimes much more as needed. Plaintiff was never paid at a rate of time and one half for any hours worked beyond 40 hours per week. She consistently worked weekly performing an overwhelming majority of work that was non-exempt under the FLSA.
- 29. Plaintiff was terminated from Defendant pretextually after expressing concerns of non-payment(s) of overtime compensation for performing non-exempt or non-management roles within Defendant.
- 30. The non-payment of overtime compensation to Plaintiff and her termination for complaints of non-payment of overtime compensation constitute violations of the FLSA.

COUNT II <u>Violations of the Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act ("PMWA")</u> [Non-payment of overtime compensation]

- 31. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 32. The PMWA does not have the same retaliation protections as the FLSA, but the requirements to pay overtime and analysis of exemptions is identical (for the purposes of this case).
- 33. Thus, the non-payment of overtime compensation to Plaintiff constitutes a violation of the PMWA, as set forth in Count I.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an Order providing that:

A. Defendant is to compensate Plaintiff, reimburse Plaintiff, and make Plaintiff whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal actions, including but not limited to back pay, front pay, salary, pay increases, bonuses, insurance, benefits, training, promotions, reinstatement, and seniority.

B. Plaintiff is to be awarded liquidated and/or punitive damages, as permitted by applicable law, in an amount believed by the Court or trier of fact to be appropriate to punish Defendant for its willful, deliberate, malicious and outrageous conduct and to deter Defendant or other employers from engaging in such misconduct in the future;

C. Plaintiff is to be accorded other equitable and legal relief as the Court deems just, proper, and appropriate (including but not limited to damages for emotional distress / pain and suffering);

D. Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and reasonable attorney's fees as provided by applicable federal and state law.

Respectfully submitted,

KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, P.C.

By:

Ari R. Karpf, Esq. 3331 Street Road
Two Greenwood Squ

Two Greenwood Square, Suite 128 Bensalem, PA 19020

(215) 639-0801

Dated: January 19, 2015

EXHIBIT A

CERTIFICTION OF DENISE GLOVER

- 1. I, Denise Glover, do hereby make the statements in this Certification to the best of my knowledge and recollection. The statements herein are based upon my personal observations and work experience. I understand that my statements in this Certification may be used legal claims, and they are made under penalties of false swearing, under oath and subject to penalties of perjury.
- 2. I was hired by White Deer Run, Inc. ("WDR") in the timeframe of May 2015. I was employed through part of December 2015. In total, I worked for WDR for approximately 7 months.
- 3. During my period of employment with WDR, I worked as a Clinical Technician. This is a somewhat glorified job title for someone who performs a lot of labor work. I regularly worked well over 40 hours per week, I was not an exempt employee, and I was paid overtime for hours I worked beyond 40 hours per week.
- 4. My job consisted of performing an array of janitorial responsibilities, doing laundry, changing linens, and doing anything necessary to facilitate patients, which could include observing them, walking them to and from areas, getting food, meeting with them, and many other tasks.
- 5. From hire through almost the end of my employment, I worked with Earlene McBride. I was personally aware she held the title of Clinical Supervisor. I did not know Ms. McBride prior to becoming employed with WDR.
- 6. Based upon my observations, Ms. McBride performed very little if any management duties. I worked with and observed her often, as we worked in such a small facility. She regularly filled in as a Clinical Tech and on a daily basis I saw her doing the same job duties

Case 5:16-cv-00237-HSP Document 1 Filed 01/20/16 Page 10 of 13

I was performing. I attributed this to us being so short staffed. I also saw her helping others, including counselors as needed.

Denise Glover

Date: 1-14-16

2 | Page

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Num	ber	E-Mail Address										
(215) 639-0801	(215) 639-49	70	akarpf@karpf-law.com										
Date	Attorney-a	t-law	Attorney for										
1/20/2016	Ari R, Karpf		Plaintiff										
(f) Standard Managemen	t - Cases that do no	t fall into a	ny one of the other tracks.	(X)									
commonly referred to	as complex and tha	it need spec	cks (a) through (d) that are vial or intense management by ed explanation of special	()									
 (b) Social Security - Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. (c) Arbitration - Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. (d) Asbestos - Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. 													
								(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.					
								SELECT ONE OF THE	FOLLOWING CA	ASE MAN	AGEMENT TRACKS:		
filing the complaint and s side of this form.) In the designation, that defenda	Case Management erve a copy on all de se event that a defe in shall, with its firs parties, a Case Mar	Track Designation of the Track Designation of	y Reduction Plan of this court, counsing gnation Form in all civil cases at the time See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the renot agree with the plaintiff regarding ce, submit to the clerk of court and ser rack Designation Form specifying the gned.	me or verse saic									
WHITE DEER I		;	NO.										
EARLENE MO	CBRIDE .		or in the state of										
E I DI EN EN IE A G	annan '	:	CIVIL ACTION										

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to bassignment to appropriate calendar,	e used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff: 342 N. Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17602	
Address of Defendant: 20400 Stevens Creek Blvd., Suite 600, Cupertino, C	CA 95014
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Defendant's place of business	
(Use Reverse Side For A	
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any patent corporation as	
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Yes□ No M
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No X
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Para Maria da Maria d
Case Number:Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one ye	ar previously terminated action in this court?
	Yes□ No□
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior st action in this court?	uit pending or within one year previously terminated
	Yes□ No□
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier meterminated action in this court?	unnoered case pending or within one year previously Yes□ No□
CHIMICA AND MAIN AND COME	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	case filed by the same individual?
· ·	Yes□ No□
CIVIL: (Place / in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. D Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. D FELA	2. D Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. D Marine Personal Injury
5. Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. N Labor-Management Relations	6. O Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
8. D Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. D All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)	
ARBITRATION CERTI (Check Appropriate Cut 1, Ari R. Karpf , counsel of record do hereby certify	egory)
N Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and be \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	clief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
DATE: 1/20/2016	ARK2484 Attorney I.D.# 91538
Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there	Attorney I.D.# 91538 has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or w	ithin one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	
DATE: 1/20/2016	ARK2484
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney 1.D.# 91538

*>JS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

the civil docket sheet. (See)	Ma) koc Hona on The Ker	ERGE OF THE FORME,		DEFENDANT	27						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	DEFENDANTS										
MCBRIDE, EARLEN	WHITE DEE	· .									
(b) County of Residence	County of Residen	Santa Clara									
(c) Attorney's (Firm National Karpf, Karpf & Ce Two Greenwood PA 19020, (215)	Square, Suite 12	Street Road, 8, Bensalem,	m	LAI	ND INV	OLVED					
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF		NCIE	PAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" it	One Box f	or Plaintiff	
U.S. Government Plaintiff	₹ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			en of This State	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF Principal Place						
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizens)	ip of Parties in Item III)		en of Another State	□ 2		2 Incorporated and of Business In		O 5	□ 5	
					3	0	3 Foreign Nation	D 6 D 6			
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box C	only)	avarsa (nergyvider/agenavite	(X)(4) [23]	nman).	W.C.U.K.OV	HANSWOYI HE	DUSTRACTIF	E REDEMAN	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 140 Negotiable Instrument & Enforcement of Judgment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 195 Franchise 197 Percelosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury	PERSONAL INJUR 362 Personal Injury- Med. Malpractic 365 Personal Injury- Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PERSONER PETTYO 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 440 Mandamus & Otl 550 Civil Rights	AY	O Agriculture O Other Food & Drug Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 88 O Liquor Laws O R.R. & Truck O Airline Regs. O Occupational Safety/Health O Other O Fair Labor Standards Act O Labor/Mgmt. Relations O Eair Labor Standards Act O Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act O Railway Labor Act O Railway Labor Act O Cher Labor Litigation I Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act MIMIGRATION New Manual Computation Act O Naturalization Applicat Habora Corpus Alien Detaince S Other Immigration Actions		422 Ap 423 Wi 28 9PROP. 820 Co 830 Pat 840 Tre 861 HI. 862 Bla 863 Dl' 864 SS: 865 RS 870 Ta 871 IRS	peal 28 USC 158 thdrawal USC 157 EREXALORITS pyrights ent demark	400 State	Reapportion ust s and Bankin nerce ration teer Influen pt Organiza: more Credit /Sat TV ive Service ities/Comme mge sure Challen C 3410 Statutory A ultural Act somic Stabiliz commental M y Allocation on of Inform of Fee Dete Equal Acce ice	need and tions addities/ age ctions cation Act latters a Act mation cermination	
N 1 Original	ate Court	Appellate Court		stated of D 3 and sened (sp	other di secify)		Litigation	,	Appeal to Judge from Magistrate Judgment	n e	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Fair Labor Stand Brief description of c Violations of the	atute under which you a lards Act "FLSA" ause: FLSA and the Per	(29USC nnsvlva	2201) nia Minimum W		\ct "P	'MWA"				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P	IS A CLASS ACTION . 23	y Di	EMAND \$.		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:		n complain D No	nt:	
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE	•			DOCK	ET NUMBER				
Explanation:			7	I a sautement			*****				
1/20/2016			1								
DATE		SIGNATURE O	F ATTO	NEY OF RECORD)						

Save As... Export as PDF

Retrieve PDF File

Reset